

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/527,272	03/08/2005	Si Han Kim	K50.12-0001	2326
27367 7590 12/11/2007 WESTMAN CHAMPLIN & KELLY, P.A.		EXAMINER		
SUITE 1400			ABDIN, SHAHEDA A	
	900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-3319		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•	•	2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•			1-2				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/527,272	KIM, SI HAN				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Shaheda A. Abdin	2629				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	(IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES IN THE MAI	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin 17 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 18 cause the application to become AB ANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 M</u>	arch 2005.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
· —	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	alaction requirement					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers						
,	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) dijected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= · ·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
•		amilier. Note the attached Office	Action of formal 10 Top.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
`	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ou.				
Attachmer	• •	-					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) 🔲 Infor	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

10/527,272 Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:
 - A. Species of Fig. 7a-7b.
 - B. Species of Fig. 8.
 - C. Species of Fig. 9a-9b.
 - D. Species of Fig. 15.
 - E. Species of Fig. 16.
 - F. Species of Fig. 22a-22b.
 - G. Species of Fig. 23a-23b.
 - H. Species of Fig. 24a-24b.

The species are independent or distinct because there is nothing on the record to show them to obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, application should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case.

Application/Control Number:

10/527,272 Art Unit: 2629

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

10/527,272 Art Unit: 2629

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Shaheda Abdin** whose telephone number is 571 270-1673. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:005:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **CHANH NGUYEN** can be reached on 571 272 7772. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-Shaheda Abdin273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/527,272 Art Unit: 2629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Shaheda Abdin

12/06/2007

CHANH D. NGUYEN /
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER